arXiv:1701.00141v1 [math.CO] 31 Dec 2016

The distinguishing number of groups based on the distinguishing number of subgroups

Saeid Alikhani * Samaneh Soltani

January 3, 2017

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran
alikhani@yazd.ac.ir, s.soltani1979@gmail.com

Abstract

Let Γ be a group acting on a set X. The distinguishing number for this action of Γ on X, denoted by $D_\Gamma(X)$, is the smallest natural number k such that the elements of X can be labeled with k labels so that any label-preserving element of Γ fixes all $x \in X$. In particular, if the action is faithful, then the only element of Γ preserving labels is the identity. In this paper, we obtain an upper bound on the distinguishing number of a set knowing the distinguishing number of a set under the action of a subgroup. By the concept of motion, we obtain an upper bound for the distinguishing number of a group. Motivated by a problem (Chan 2006), we characterize $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$ which is the smallest number of labels admitting a labeling of X such that the only elements of Γ that induce label-preserving permutations lie in H. Finally, we state two algorithms for obtaining an upper and a lower bound for $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$.

Keywords: distinguishing number; group actions

AMS Subj. Class.: 05E15, 05C15, 20B25, 20D60

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph. We use the standard graph notation ([9]). In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ denotes the automorphism group of G. Distinguishing labeling was first defined by Albertson and Collins [1] for graphs. A labeling of a graph G, $\phi : V(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, is said to be *$r$-distinguishing* if no nontrivial automorphism of G preserves all the vertex labels. In other words, ϕ is r-distinguishing if for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, $\sigma \neq id$, there is a vertex x such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(\sigma(x))$. The *distinguishing number* of a graph G is defined as

$$D(G) = \min\{r : \text{ there exists an r} - \text{distinguishing labeling of G}\}.$$

*Corresponding author

The distinguishing number of several families of graphs, including trees, hypercubes, generalized Petersen graphs, friendship and book graphs have been studied in [2, 3, 4, 6], and [10]. The concept was naturally extended to general group actions [12]. Let Γ be a group acting on a set X. If g is an element of Γ and x is in X then denote the action of g on x by $g.x$. Write $\Gamma.x$ for the orbit containing x. Recall that stabilizer of the subset $Y \subseteq X$ is defined to be $\mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(Y) = \{g \in \Gamma : g.y = y \text{ for all } y \in Y\}$. We sometimes omit the subscript and write $\mathrm{Stab}(Y)$. For a positive integer r, an *r-labeling* of X is an onto function $\phi : X \to \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$. We say ϕ is a *distinguishing labeling* (with respect to the action of Γ) if the only group elements that preserve the labeling are in $\mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(X)$. The *distinguishing number* $D_\Gamma(X)$ of the action of Γ on X is defined as

$$D_\Gamma(X) = \min\{r : \text{ there exists an r} - \text{distinguishing labeling}\}.$$

In particular, if the action is faithful, then the only element of Γ preserving labels is the identity. In this paper we suppose that the action of a group on a set is faithful. Tymoczko in [12] shows that:

Theorem 1.1 [12] *If $|\Gamma|$ is at most $k!$, then $D_\Gamma(X)$ is at most k.*

For a given group Γ, Albertson and Collins [1] defined the *distinguishing set* of Γ graphs as

$$D(\Gamma) = \{D(G) : \ G \text{ is a graph with } \mathrm{Aut}(G) = \Gamma\}.$$

Wong and Zhu [13] defined the *distinguishing set* of Γ actions as

$$D^*(\Gamma) = \{D_\Gamma(X) : \ \Gamma \text{ acts faithfully on } X\}.$$

Observe that for a graph G, $D(G) = D_{\mathrm{Aut(G)}}(V(G))$, so for any group Γ, $D(\Gamma) \subseteq D^*(\Gamma)$. We need the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 [1, 5] *If Γ is a nontrivial abelian group, then $D(\Gamma) = D^*(\Gamma) = \{2\}$, also if D_n is the dihedral group of order $2n$, then $D(D_n) = D^*(D_n) = \{2\}$ unless $n = 3, 4, 5, 6, 10$, in which cases $D(D_n) = D^*(D_n) = \{2, 3\}$.*

A group Γ is called *almost simple* if $T \leqslant \Gamma \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ for some nonabelian simple group T. For almost simple groups, we use the notation of the Atlas [8]. In particular, $L_d(q)$ denotes the projective special linear group of dimension d defined over a q-element field, and M_n, for $n \in \{11, 12, 22, 23, 24\}$, denotes the Mathieau groups. Seress et. al., have obtained the distinguishing of these groups as follows:

Theorem 1.3 [11] *For all $n \geq 3$,*

(i) $D^*(S_n) = \left\{\lceil n^{1/k} \rceil : k = 1, 2, \ldots\right\} \cup \left\{\lceil (n-1)^{1/k} \rceil : k = 1, 2, \ldots\right\}$;

(ii) $D(S_n) = \left\{\lceil n^{1/k} \rceil : k = 1, 2, \ldots\right\}$;

(iii) $D^*(A_n) = \left\{\lceil (n-1)^{1/k} \rceil : k = 1, 2, \ldots\right\}$, *except that* $D^*(A_5) = \{2, 3, 4\}$;

(iv) $D(A_n) = \{2\}$.

Theorem 1.4 [11] *If* Γ *is an almost simple group and* $\Gamma \neq S_n, A_n$ *for any* n*, then* $D^*(\Gamma) = D(\Gamma) = \{2\}$ *with the exception that the groups listed in* (i) *have* $D^*(\Gamma) = \{2,3\}$*,* $D^*(L_3(2)) = D^*(M_{11}) = \{2,3,4\}$*, and* $D^*(M_{12}) = \{2,4\}$.

(i) $L_3(2).2$*,* $L_2(8)$*,* $L_2(8).3$*,* $L_2(9).22$*,* $L_2(9).23$*,* $L_2(9).22$*,* $L_2(11)$*,* $L_2(11).2$*,* $L_3(3)$*,* $L_2(13).2$*,* $L_2(16).2$*,* $L_2(16).4$*,* $L_3(4).3.22$*,* M_{22}*,* $M_{22}.2$*,* M_{23}*,* M_{24}.

Furthermore, we need the following preliminaries: Let Γ be a group and $H \leqslant \Gamma$. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$, the set σH is called a *left coset* of H in Γ. Similarly, the set $H\sigma$ is called a *right coset* of H in Γ. The set of all left cosets of H in Γ and the set of all right cosets have an equal cardinality. The set of distinct left (or right) cosets of H in Γ forms a partition of Γ; i.e., there exist $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k \in \Gamma \setminus H$ such that $\Gamma = H \cup \sigma_1 H \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_k H$ (equivalently, $\Gamma = H \cup H\sigma_1 \cup \cdots \cup H\sigma_k$). The index of $H \leqslant \Gamma$, denoted by $[\Gamma, H]$, is the cardinality of the set of all distinct left (or right) cosets of H in Γ. A subgroup H of Γ is normal in Γ, written $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma$, if $\sigma H = H\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$. If $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ and $H \neq \Gamma$, we write $H \lhd \Gamma$.

In Section 2, we obtain upper bounds for the distinguishing number of a set X under the action of a group Γ based on the distinguishing number of X under the action of H, where H is a subgroup of Γ. Also, we present some groups Γ and subgroups H of Γ such that $\overline{D}(H) > \overline{D}(\Gamma)$, where $\overline{D}(\Gamma) = \max\{D_\Gamma(X) \mid \Gamma \text{ acts faithfully on } X\}$. These examples give negative answer to a question of Chan in [5]. Also we propose some cases for which the inequality $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$ is true. Motivated by a problem (Chan 2006), we characterize $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$ which is the smallest number of labels admitting a labeling of X such that the only elements of Γ that induce label-preserving permutations lie in H, in Section 3.

2 Upper bounds of $D_\Gamma(X)$ based on $D_H(X)$

We begin this section with the following question which Potanka has asked in [10]:

Question 2.1 *Let* Γ *be a group and* $H \leqslant \Gamma$*. If the distinguishing number of* X *under the action of* H *is* k*, then what can be said about the distinguishing number of* X *under the action of the entire* Γ*?*

Note that if a given faithful action of H on X can be extended to a faithful action of Γ on X then $D_H(X) \leq D_\Gamma(X)$, because any labeling of X that is distinguishing with respect to the action of Γ, is also distinguishing with respect to the action of H. However, is not true that, every faithful action of H on X can necessarily be extended to a faithful action of Γ (for example if $|\Gamma| > |X|!$).

In this section, we first answer to Question 2.1 when the action of any subgroup H of Γ on X is the restriction of action Γ on X to H, and next we state some results for that faithful action of H on X which cannot extended to a faithful action of Γ.

Let H be a proper subgroup of Γ such that the action of any subgroup of Γ on X is the restriction of action Γ on X to that subgroup, so we can see that $2 \leq D_H(X) \leq D_\Gamma(X)$. By Theorem 1.2 if Γ is abelian, then $D_H(X) = D_\Gamma(X) = \{2\}$. Since $2 \leqslant D_H(X) \leq D_\Gamma(X)$, so if $D_\Gamma(X) \leq 3$, then $D_\Gamma(X) \leq D_H(X) + 1$.

Now we obtain an upper bounds for $D_\Gamma(X)$ using the value $D_H(X)$ by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ be all maximal nonidentity subgroups of Γ. If the action of any subgroup of Γ on X is the restriction of the action of Γ on X to that subgroup, and $\max\{D_{H_i}(X)\}_{i=1}^k = c$, then $D_\Gamma(X) \leq c + 1$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [5]. Choose a set U of representatives of the orbits of Γ on X, and let $L = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \ u^\sigma = u \text{ for each } u \in U\}$ stabilizes the set U pointwise. We know that $X \setminus U$ is nonempty, because Γ is nontrivial. Considering the action of L on $X \setminus U$, we have $D_L(X \setminus U) \leq D_L(X) \leq c$, since L is a subgroup of one of the $H_1, \ldots, H_k$. Suppose $C : X \setminus U \to \{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$ is a c-labeling of $X \setminus U$ that is distinguishing with respect to the action of L. Now we define $C' : X \to \{1, 2, \ldots, c + 1\}$ as

$$C'(x) = \begin{cases} c+1 & \text{if } x \in U \\ C(x) & \text{if } x \notin U. \end{cases}$$

We claim that C' is a $(c+1)$-distinguishing labeling of X with respect to the action of Γ. If $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and σ preserves C', then σ should fix each orbit representative $u \in U$, because they are only elements of label $c+1$ and are in different orbits, so $\sigma \in L$. Hence consider the action of σ on $X \setminus U$. Since the restriction of C' to $X \setminus U$ is a distinguishing labeling with respect to the action of L, and $\sigma \in L$ preserves this labeling, we have σ is the identity. Therefore C' is $(c + 1)$-distinguishing labeling of X with respect to the action of Γ. □

The bound presented in Theorem 2.2 is sharp. Let M be the cycle graph C_3, and $\Gamma = S_3$ (the symmetric group on three letters). Each maximal subgroup of Γ is abelian, and so the value of c is two. Since $D_\Gamma(V(M)) = 3$, so this bound is sharp.

Corollary 2.3 *Let Γ be a group acting on the set X. If the action of any subgroup of Γ on X is the restriction of the action of Γ on X to that subgroup, and U contains the representatives of the orbits of Γ on X, and $L = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \ u^\sigma = u \text{ for each } u \in U\}$ stabilizes the set U pointwise, then $D_\Gamma(X) \leq D_L(X) + 1$.*

Proof. Set $D_L(X) = c$. Suppose $C : X \setminus U \to \{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$ is a c- labeling of $X \setminus U$ that is distinguishing with respect to the action of L. Now we define $C' : X \to \{1, 2, \ldots, c+1\}$ as

$$C'(x) = \begin{cases} c+1 & \text{if } x \in U \\ C(x) & \text{if } x \notin U. \end{cases}$$

We claim that C' is a $(c+1)$-distinguishing labeling of X with respect to the action of Γ. If $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and σ preserves C', then σ should fix each orbit representative $u \in U$,

because they are only elements of label $c+1$ and are in different orbits, so $\sigma \in L$. Hence consider the action of σ on $X \setminus U$. Since the restriction of C' to $X \setminus U$ is a distinguishing labeling with respect to the action of L, and $\sigma \in L$ preserves this labeling, so σ is the identity. Therefore C' is $(c+1)$-distinguishing labeling of X with respect to the action of Γ. □

Now by the concept of motion, we obtain an upper bound for $D_\Gamma(X)$ based on $D_H(X)$, where the faithful action of H on X cannot necessarily be extended to a faithful action of Γ. Let Γ be a finite group acting on the set X. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$ let $m(\sigma) = \{x \in X : \ \sigma(x) \neq x\}$ and let $m(X) = \min\{m(\sigma) : \ \sigma \neq id\}$. Call $m(\sigma)$ the motion of σ and $m(X)$ the motion of X. We state the following definition:

Definition 2.4 *Let H be a subgroup of Γ. Suppose that C is a distinguishing labeling of X under H with $D_H(X)$ labels, and σ_i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are all elements of Γ which preserve the labeling C. The partition $T = \{I_1, \ldots, I_n\}$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ is called a* good partition, *if $\bigcap_{i \in I_j} m(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$.*

Theorem 2.5 *Let X be a set, and Γ and H be two groups acting on X where $H \leqslant \Gamma$. Let $t = \min\big\{|T| : \ T$ is a good partition of $\{1, \ldots, k\}\big\}$. We have*

(i) $D_\Gamma(X) \leq D_H(X) + t$.

(ii) If $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} m(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$, then $D_\Gamma(X) \leq D_H(X) + 1$.

Proof.

(i) Let T be a good partition of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $|T| = t$. Set $T = \{I_1, \ldots, I_t\}$. With respect to minimality of t, we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \in I_{k'}} m(\sigma_i)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in I_{k''}} m(\sigma_i)\right) = \emptyset,$$

for $k' \neq k''$. Let C be a distinguishing labeling of X under H with $D_H(X)$ labels. We choose exactly one element from each $\bigcap_{i \in I_j} m(\sigma_i)$ $(j = 1, \ldots, t)$, say x_j, and change its label to the label $D_H(X) + j$. It can be seen that this new labeling is not preserved by elements $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$, and so the new labeling is distinguishing under Γ. Therefore we obtained a distinguishing labeling of X under Γ with $D_H(X) + t$ labels.

(ii) It follows from Part (i). □

Corollary 2.6 *Let X be a set, and Γ and H be two groups acting on X where $H \leqslant \Gamma$. Suppose that $D_H(X) = d$, and $D(X, H)$ is the set of all nonisomorphic d-distinguishing labeling of X under H. We denote the elements of $D(X, H)$ by ϕ_i where $1 \leq i \leq |D(X, H)|$. Let $\sigma_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \sigma_{k_i}^{(i)}$ be the elements of Γ which preserve the labeling ϕ_i, and $t_i = \min\big\{|T| : \ T$ is a good partition of $\{1, \ldots, k_i\}\big\}$. If $t = \min\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{|D(X,H)|}$, then $D_\Gamma(X) \leq D_H(X) + t$.*

If $H = \{1\}$, then we can obtain an upper bound for the value of $D_\Gamma(X)$ by Theorem 2.5 as follows:

Corollary 2.7 *If Γ is a group of order $k+1$ acting on the set X, then $D_\Gamma(X) \leq 1+t$ where $t = \min\{|T| : T$ is a good partition of $\{1,\ldots,k\}\}$.*

We continue this section with the following problem from Chan [5].

Problem 2.8 *Let Γ be a finite group, and $\overline{D}(\Gamma) = \max\{D_\Gamma(X) \mid \Gamma$ acts faithfully on $X\}$. If H is a subgroup of Γ, dose it follow that $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$?*

In general, the answer of this problem is negative. For instance, we use the dihedral groups. If $\Gamma = D_n$, and $H = D_d$ with $n \geq 12$ and $d \in \{3,4,5,6,10\}$ such that $d|n$, then $\overline{D}(\Gamma) = 2$ and $\overline{D}(H) = 3$, by Theorem 1.2. It is clear that if Γ is a group and the symmetric group S_n is its subgroup such that $\overline{D}(\Gamma) \leq n-1$, then by Theorem 1.3 $\overline{D}(\Gamma) < \overline{D}(S_n) = n$. As another example, let $\Gamma = M_{11}$. It is known that $H = S_5$ is a maximal subgroup of Γ. Now by Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 we have $4 = \overline{D}(\Gamma) < \overline{D}(H) = 5$. By a similar argument, if Γ is a group and the alternative group A_n is its subgroup such that $\overline{D}(\Gamma) \leq n-2$, then $\overline{D}(\Gamma) < \overline{D}(A_n) = n-1$.

Note that there exist cases for which $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$ is true. In the rest of this section, we characterize cases for which $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$. First we state the following result which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 2.9 *If Γ is an abelian group, and H is a subgroup of Γ, then $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.*

Theorem 2.10 *Let $\overline{D}(H) = c < \infty$, and A be the set of all pairs (X,H) for which $D_H(X) = c$. If at least one of the pairs in A, say (X,H), can be extended to a faithful action of Γ, then $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Since the action of the pair (X,H) from A can be extended to a faithful action of Γ, so $D_H(X) \leq D_\Gamma(X)$. Now using $D_\Gamma(X) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$ and $D_H(X) = \overline{D}(H)$, we conclude that $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$. □

The following theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.11 *Let Γ and H be two groups and H be a subgroup of Γ.*

(i) If $|H| \leq (\overline{D}(\Gamma))!$, then $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.

(ii) If $|\Gamma| \leq (\overline{D}(H))!$, then $\overline{D}(\Gamma) \leq \overline{D}(H)$.

Corollary 2.12 *If $\Gamma = S_n$ or $\Gamma = A_n$ where $n \geq 3$, then for every subgroup H of Γ, $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. If follows from Theorems 1.3 and 2.11. □

Theorem 2.13 *Let Γ be a group such that $|\Gamma| \leq 2(\overline{D}(\Gamma)!)$. If H is a subgroup of Γ, then $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. If H is a trivial group or $H = \Gamma$, then the result is clear. So we suppose that H is a nontrivial proper subgroup of Γ. By contradiction suppose that $\overline{D}(H) > \overline{D}(\Gamma)$. Hence $|H| > \overline{D}(\Gamma)!$, by Theorem 2.11. Since $[\Gamma, H] \geq 2$, we can conclude that $|\Gamma| > 2\overline{D}(\Gamma)!$, which is a contradiction. □

This result can easily be generalized as follows:

Corollary 2.14 *Let Γ be a group such that for an integer number t, $|\Gamma| \leq t(\overline{D}(\Gamma)!)$. If H is a subgroup of Γ such that $[\Gamma, H] \geq t$, then $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.*

A theorem by Gluck [7] states $D_\Gamma(X) = 2$ whenever $|\Gamma|$ is odd, and hence $\overline{D}(\Gamma) = 2$. Our hypothesis on $|\Gamma|$ implies the two following results directly.

Theorem 2.15 *(i) Let Γ be a group of odd order. If H is a subgroup of Γ, then $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.*

(ii) Let Γ be a nontrivial group. If H is a subgroup of odd order of Γ, then $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$.

3 Characterization of $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$

Chan in [5] used subgroup structure as a method to generalize the notion of the distinguishing number, as follows. Given a group Γ acting faithfully on a set X and H a subgroup of Γ, let $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$ denote the smallest number of labels admitting a labeling of X such that the only elements of Γ that induce label-preserving permutations lie in H. Thus, when $H = 1$, we recover the original notion of the distinguishing number. It is clear that $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) \leq D_\Gamma(X)$, $D_{\Gamma,1}(X) = D_\Gamma(X)$, and $D_{\Gamma,\Gamma}(X) = 1$. He proposed the following problem in [5].

Problem 3.1 *Characterize $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$.*

In this section we shall characterize $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$. To do this, we begin with the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 *Let Γ be a group, H_1 and H_2 be two subgroups of Γ. If $H_1 \leqslant H_2$, then $D_{\Gamma,H_2}(X) \leq D_{\Gamma,H_1}(X)$.*

Proof. Set $D_{\Gamma,H_1}(X) = d$. Suppose that $\phi : X \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ is a d-labeling of X, such that the only elements of Γ that induce label-preserving permutation lie in H_1. Since $H_1 \leqslant H_2$, so each element of Γ that induce label-preserving permutation lie in H_2. Therefore $D_{\Gamma,H_2}(X) \leq d$. □

Corollary 3.3 *If Γ is a group, and $\{e\} \leqslant H_1 \leqslant H_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant H_k \leqslant \Gamma$ is a chain of subgroups of Γ, then*

$$1 \leq D_{\Gamma,H_k}(X) \leq D_{\Gamma,H_{k-1}}(X) \leq \cdots \leq D_{\Gamma,H_1}(X) \leq D_\Gamma(X).$$

Lemma 3.4 *Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be two groups such that $\Gamma_1 \leqslant \Gamma_2$, and $H \leqslant \Gamma_1$, then $D_{\Gamma_1,H}(X) \leq D_{\Gamma_2,H}(X)$.*

Proof. Set $D_{\Gamma_2,H}(X) = d$. We suppose that $\phi : X \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ is a d-labeling of X, such that the only elements of Γ_2 that induce label-preserving permutation lie in H. Since $\Gamma_1 \leqslant \Gamma_2$, so each element of Γ_1 that induce label-preserving permutation lie in H. Therefore $D_{\Gamma_1,H}(X) \leq d$. □

Theorem 3.5 *If Γ is a group and H is its normal subgroup, $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ (the action of $\sigma H \in \Gamma/H$ on X is as $\sigma H(x) = \sigma(x)$, for all $x \in X$), then $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) = D_{\Gamma/H}(X)$.*

Proof. Set $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) = d$, and $\phi : X \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ be a d-labeling of X, such that the only elements of Γ that induce label-preserving permutation lie in H. We want to show that ϕ is a d-distinguishing labeling of X under Γ/H. If $\sigma H \in \Gamma/H - \{H\}$ is an element of Γ/H preserving ϕ, then $\phi(\sigma H(x)) = \phi(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Thus $\phi(\sigma(x)) = \phi(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Since the only elements of Γ that induce label-preserving permutation lie in H, so $\sigma \in H$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $D_{\Gamma/H}(X) \leq D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$. For converse, let set $D_{\Gamma/H}(X) = t$, and $\phi : X \to \{1, \ldots, t\}$ be a t-distinguishing labeling of X under Γ/H. We want to show that ϕ is a d-labeling of X such that the only elements of Γ induce label-preserving permutation lie in H. If $\sigma \in \Gamma$ is an element of Γ preserving ϕ, then $\phi(\sigma(x)) = \phi(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Thus $\phi(\sigma H(x)) = \phi(x)$ for any $x \in X$, and so $\sigma H = H$, which means $\sigma \in H$. Therefore $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) \leq D_{\Gamma/H}(X)$. □

Corollary 3.6 *If Γ is a group and H is its subgroup with $[\Gamma : H] = p$ such that p is the least prime number with $p \mid |\Gamma|$, then $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) = 2$.*

Proof. By hypotheses we conclude that H is a normal subgroup of Γ, and Γ/H is an abelian group. So the result follows by Theorems 1.2 and 3.5. □

Corollary 3.7 *If Γ is a group and H is a normal subgroup of Γ such that Γ/H is a nontrivial abelian group, then $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) = 2$, especially, $D_{\Gamma,\Gamma'}(X) = 2$ where Γ' is the derived subgroup of Γ.*

Corollary 3.8 *Let Γ be a group and H be a subgroup of Γ. If $< H >_{nor} = \bigcap_{H \leqslant K \trianglelefteq \Gamma} K$, then $D_{\Gamma/<H>_{nor}}(X) \leq D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$.*

Proof. It can be shown that $H \leqslant< H >_{nor} \trianglelefteq \Gamma$. So by Lemma 3.4, $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) \leq D_{\Gamma,<H>_{nor}}(X)$. Now we have the result by Theorem 3.5. □

A group Γ is called *metacyclic* if it has a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft \Gamma$ such that both N and Γ/N are cyclic. Such groups have been completely classified in [9], and include all groups of square free order.

Corollary 3.9 [5] *Let Γ be a metacyclic group. Then* $\max D^*(\Gamma) \leq 3$.

We obtain as a special case that if Γ is dihedral then $\max D^*(\Gamma) \leq 3$, as shown in [1].

Corollary 3.10 *(i) If H is a nontrivial proper subgroup of an abelian group Γ, then $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) = 2$.*

(ii) If H is a subgroup of metacyclic group Γ, then $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) \leq 3$.

Let Γ be a group acting faithfully on the set X, and ϕ be a d-labeling of X. Suppose that (Γ, ϕ) is the set of all elements of Γ preserving the labeling ϕ. It can be seen that (Γ, ϕ) is a subgroup of Γ, and $D_{\Gamma,(\Gamma,\phi)}(X) = d$. If H is a subgroup of Γ, and $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) = c$, then $(\Gamma, \phi) \leqslant H$ where $\phi : X \to \{1, \ldots, c\}$ is a c-labeling of X. Hence

$$D_{\Gamma,H}(X) \leq \min\{d \mid \phi \text{ is a } d-\text{labeling of } X \text{ such that } (\Gamma, \phi) \leqslant H\},$$
$$D_{\Gamma,H}(X) \geq \max\{d \mid \phi \text{ is a } d-\text{labeling of } X \text{ such that } H \leqslant (\Gamma, \phi)\}.$$

By this argument we can state the two following algorithms for obtaining an upper and a lower bound for $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$. Here, L_d is the set of all d-labeling of X. Since $|L_d| = \sum_{j=0}^{|X|}(-1)^j \binom{d}{j}(d-j)^{|X|}$ (the number of function from X onto $\{1, \ldots, d\}$), so we denote the elements of L_d by ϕ_d^i where $1 \leq i \leq |L_d|$.

Here we state an algorithm for obtaining an upper bound for $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$:

```
Input: X, Γ, H
Output: An upper bound for D_{Γ,H}(X)
Set 𝔇 ← ∅
for(d = 1, d ≤ |X|, d++)
{
    Construct L_d
    for(i = 1, i ≤ |L_d|, i++)
            {
            If ((G, φ_d^i) ⩽ H)
            Set 𝔇 ← 𝔇 ∪ d
            }
}
Print D_{Γ,H}(X) ≤ min𝔇
```

Now we propose an algorithm for obtaining a lower bound for $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$:

Input: X, Γ, H
Output: A lower bound for $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$
Set $\mathfrak{D} \leftarrow \emptyset$
for$(d = |X|, d \geq 1, d--)$
{
    Construct L_d
    for$(i = 1, i \leq |L_d|, i++)$
            {
            If $(H \leqslant (G, \phi_d^i))$
            Set $\mathfrak{D} \leftarrow \mathfrak{D} \cup d$
            }
}
Print $D_{\Gamma,H}(X) \geq \max\mathfrak{D}$

Corollary 3.11 *If H and K are two normal subgroups of HK such that $H \cap K = \{1\}$, then $D_{HK,H}(X) = D_K(X)$.*

Proof. Since $H \cap K = \{1\}$, so $HK/H \cong K$. Now by Lemma 3.4 we have the result.□

Proposition 3.12 *If H and K are two subgroups of the group HK (i.e., $HK = KH$), then $D_{HK,H}(X) \geq D_{K,H\cap K}(X)$.*

Proof. Set $D_{HK,H}(X) = c$, and $\phi : X \to \{1, \ldots, c\}$ be a c-labeling of X, such that the only elements of HK that induce label-preserving permutation lie in H. We want to show that ϕ is a d-distinguishing labeling of X under Γ/H. If $k \in K$ preserves the labeling ϕ, then $k \in H$, and so $k \in H \cap K$. Thus ϕ is a c-labeling of X, such that the only elements of K that induce label-preserving permutation lie in $H \cap K$. Therefore $D_{HK,H}(X) \geq D_{K,H\cap K}(X)$. □

Corollary 3.13 *If H and K are two groups of the group HK such that $H \cap K = \{1\}$, then $D_{HK,H}(X) \geq D_K(X)$.*

4 Conclusion

In this paper we gave an upper bound on the distinguishing number of a set knowing the distinguishing number of a set under the action of a subgroup. Also, we gave some groups Γ and subgroups H of Γ such that $\overline{D}(H) > \overline{D}(\Gamma)$. We proposed some cases for which the inequality $\overline{D}(H) \leq \overline{D}(\Gamma)$ is true. Also motivated by a problem (Chan 2006), we characterized $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$. Finally, we stated two algorithms for obtaining an upper and a lower bound for $D_{\Gamma,H}(X)$. We end the paper with proposing the following question:

Question 4.1 *Let Γ be a group acting on the sets X and Y, where $Y \subseteq X$. If the distinguishing number of Y under the action of Γ is k, then what can be said about the distinguishing number of X under the action of Γ?*

References

[1] M.O. Albertson and K.L. Collins, *Symmetry breaking in graphs*, Electron. J. Combin. 3 (1996), #R18.

[2] S. Alikhani and S. Soltani, *Distinguishing number and distinguishing index of certain graphs*, Filomat. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03302.`

[3] B. Bogstad and L.J. Cowen, *The distinguishing number of hypercube*, Discrete Math. 283 (2004), 29-35.

[4] M. Chan, *The distinguishing number of the augmented cube and hypercube powers*, Discrete Mathematics 308.11 (2008), 2330-2336.

[5] M. Chan, *The maximum distinguishing number of a group*, Electron. J. Combin 13 (2006), #R70.

[6] C.T. Cheng, *Three problems in graph labeling*, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, 1999.

[7] D. Gluck, *Trivial set-stabilizers in finite permutation groups*, Canad. J. Math 35.1 (1983), 59-67.

[8] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A.Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[9] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, *Handbook of product graphs (second edition)*, Taylor & Francis group, 2011.

[10] K. Potanka, *Groups, graphs and symmetry breaking*, Masters Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, 1998.

[11] A. Seress, T.L. Wong, and X. Zhu, *Distinguishing labeling of the actions of almost simple groups*, Combinatorica 31.4 (2011), 489-506.

[12] J. Tymoczko, *Distinguishing number for graphs and groups*, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004), #R63.

[13] T. Wong and X. Zhu, *Distinguishing labeling of group actions*, Discrete Mathematics. 309.6 (2009), 1760-1765.